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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **AGENDA ITEM** | **DISCUSSION** | **ACTION TAKEN** |
| Grants for Next Year | Nancy informed the group there will be funding for the internal SEED grants for AY 2011-2012. The group was queried regarding changes they thought should be made. There were no changes sited. There was a suggestion the proposals should be closely checked for adherence to guideline procedures. It was suggested that there be an announcement if RFP procedures were not followed the proposal would not be considered. Everyone thought this was a good suggestion.  |  |
| Cost Sharing | Nancy explained cost sharing to the group and what it means to faculty in terms of grants. The new COEUS system was discussed and how this prevents faculty from agreeing to cost sharing in grants without the administration knowing. In the past there has been cost sharing associated with Graduate Assistants that the administration has not been aware of. There was a review of how some of the schools are now handling cost sharing. Nancy shared that there has been a suggestion that the college pay the cost-share portion. There has been a decision made that next year the college will pay for half of the cost-share portion and the school will use their allotted GA budget for the other half. The group was asked for feedback on this idea. There was discussion on why there is cost sharing and what the norm is. The group also discussed the Dean’s concerns on the subject. There was discussion regarding the inclusion of GAs in potential grant proposals, the money generated and potential costs as more and more grants are written. The question was raised regarding approaching the college to provide the entire cost-share portion if the grant has a great deal of potential, but the school doesn’t have the funds to contribute their portion of the cost share. Proposers need to discuss cost sharing proposals prior to submitting to ensure there are funds available to support the school/college portion of the funding. Nancy shared that some of the current issues will not be problems with the new approval processes using COEUS.  | Request for Michelle to draft a guideline for cost sharing portion of grant proposals.  |
| Ideas for Research Support | Nancy shared that Rachel Foot has been chosen as the Doctoral Forum Graduate Assistant. The group was asked if they had suggestions to get her started this summer. Following were suggestions:* Development of a web page for the doctoral forum
* Creating timeline checklist for doctoral socialization: conference presentations, networking, publications etc

Nancy shared the suggestions that had been made by the doctoral review committee for this position. One of these was locating a space for them to gather. Rachel will be provided the names and information for the new doctoral students to add to doctoral listserv and also be sent information on the forum. Lisa Bircher had begun a peer mentoring group this year and they would like to see Rachel keep this going. Rachel will also work on communication between the college and the doctoral students. Helping one another and creating a “doctoral” atmosphere seems to be needed. Original contributions to a field and what these are is a conversation that the students should be having with each other and also with faculty. Nancy shared the Doctoral Review Committee’s suggestion of paring students up with faculty to have a research experience, be mentored by the faculty, learn the finer points of doing the research, and discuss the data. Rachel will also continue the speakers for the doctoral forum. It was suggested that doctoral students could be used as speakers for the forums and this could help with the socialization and gain feedback from the faculty and other students. Nancy explained that the review committee is also looking at what residency is and attending the doctoral forums might be part of a student’s residency requirements. There was discussion on changes that need to be made to programs to keep them updated. She asked the group for suggestions in this area.  | Nancy communicate with Rachel Foote about these tasks |

The meeting was adjourned at 10:25am

This was the final meeting for the year.

Respectfully submitted

Luci Wymer, Recorder