

**COLLEGE AND GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, HEALTH, AND HUMAN SERVICES**

Office of the Associate Dean for Administrative Affairs and Graduate Education

**RESEARCH COUNCIL**

**January 31, 2011**

MEMBERS ATTENDING: Aryn Karpinski, FLA; Pam Mitchell, HS; Kelly Cichy, LDES; Jim Henderson, TLC, Nancy Barbour, Assoc. Dean; Michelle Hoversten, Grants Coord.; Luci Wymer, Recorder

MEMBERS ABSENT: Dale Cook, FLA; Angie Ha, HS; Frank Sansosti, LDES; Tim Rasinski, TLC

GUESTS: None

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **AGENDA ITEM** | **DISCUSSION** | **ACTION TAKEN** |
| RFPS for SEED Grants | Deadline for proposals is tomorrow, Feb. 1st. None have been submitted at this point, but there are several that are expected.  |  |
| Doctoral Student Awards | Criteria for Doctoral Student Research Award was discussed. There was also discussion on doctoral students and their understanding of scholarly work. Nancy asked the group to think about students who have engaged in scholarly work from the beginning on their own. There was discussion on traits of a scholarly student and the difference of those that are not engaged. Students would be nominated by the faculty. The following name was suggested: Most Promising Scholar. There was discussion on criteria and process for evaluating. Following were suggested for criteria:* CV
* Goes above and beyond seeking scholarly growth
* Scholarly disposition evidenced in coursework and other academic experiences
* Evidence of scholarly productivity e.g. conference presentations, publications, exhibits, grant applications and awards.
* Ask doctoral forum to review the award criteria
* Monograph of student work after award

Nancy shared that she has spoken to Lisa Bircher who is the leader of the doctoral forum regarding a GA appointment to head the doctoral forum. Nancy shared that she will be discussing this with the Dean and see if funding is available. Nancy spoke of the mindset difference between the full time and the part time doctoral student. There was discussion of an evaluation process of students for candidacy. It was suggested that doctoral students be queried as to what they would like to see in terms of criteria for this award. The group would like to pursue this award this semester.There is a need across the board for a statement of what a doctoral program should be. Could also involve the doctoral forum in crafting a statement (standard of excellence) regarding the doctoral programs.  | Nancy will discuss with the Dean about the ability to acknowledge these awards in a monetary way. |
| Grad Studies Bulletin Board | Nancy explained the bulletin board outside the 409 suite will be updated with information on faculty/student research. Several members are involved in student assisted research, but it was also suggested the bulletin board could be used to invite students to participate in research projects. It was suggested a statement could go out on the doctoral listserv inviting student participation. Nancy also requested that the Research Newsletter be re-activated. | Michelle Hoversten will revive the research newsletter. |
| Support of research by faculty | There was discussion on students collecting their own data for research and there is no support. It was suggested faculty be solicited to support independent research. Nancy stated that she is hoping for some support with the new graduate school.  | Nancy will speak to the Dean regarding doctoral student research support.  |

The meeting was adjourned at 10:14 am

Next meeting: Monday, Feb. 28, 2011, 9:30 – 10:30, WH Rm 507M

Respectfully submitted

Luci Wymer, Recorder