***Pedagogy Evaluation***

Student Teacher: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_­

Cooperating Teacher/s: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Semester: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

***Directions –*** The form will be provided by the Program Coordinator to the University Supervisor, Cooperating Teacher[[1]](#endnote-1), and Student Teacheri

Each member of the team (Cooperating Teacherii, University Supervisor, and Student Teacheri)

1. Completes the evaluation in week 5 or 6 (Mid-term) of the student teaching experience AND in week 13 or 14 (Final)
2. Brings the completed survey to the mid-term 3-way conference

At the Mid-term 3-way conference

1. Goals are set for the remainder of the student teaching experience
2. The University Supervisor records the consensus ratings and enters into the University data system by the end of week 7

At the Final 3-way conference

1. Suggestions and comments are made to assist in the transition to teaching role
2. The University Supervisor records the consensus ratings and enters into the University data system by the end of week 14

| **Item/ Standards** | **Exceeds Expectations**  **(3 points)** | **Meets Expectations**  **(2 points)** | **Emerging**  **(1 point)** | **Does Not Meet Expectations**  **(0 points)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning** | | | | |
| **A. Focus for Learning: Standards and Goals**  OSTP 4.1, 4.2  NCATE 1c  CAEP/ InTASC 7a | Plans are *all* aligned to appropriate Ohio Learning **Standards**  AND  **Goals** are *challenging* and measurable.  AND  *The teacher articulates the importance of the* ***goal****(s) and* appropriateness for students | Plans are *frequently* aligned to appropriate Ohio Learning **Standards**  AND  **Goals** *are* measureable  AND  ***Goal****(s) are appropriate for students* | Plans *rarely* align to appropriate Ohio Learning **Standards**  AND/OR  *Some* **goals** are measureable | Plans *do not align* to the appropriate Ohio Learning **Standards**  AND  **Goals** *are not* measureable |
| **B. Objectives/ Targets**  OSTP 1.1, 1.2, 1.5, 2.1, 2.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3  NCATE 1c  CAEP/ InTASC 1b, 2a, 7a, 7b | Articulates **objectives/targets** that are appropriate for learners  AND  Standards, **objectives/targets**, and learning tasks are consistently aligned with each other  AND  *Attends to appropriate developmental progressions relative to age and content-area* | Articulates **objectives/targets** that *are* appropriate for learners  AND  Standards, **objectives/ targets**, and learning tasks *are consistently* aligned with each other | Articulates *some* **objectives/targets** that are appropriate for learners  OR  Standards, **objectives/ targets**, and learning tasks, are *loosely or are not consistently* aligned with each other | *Does not* articulate **objectives/targets** that are appropriate for learners  AND/OR  Standards, **objectives/ targets**, and learning tasks *are not aligned* with each other |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **C. Methods, Materials, and Resources**  OSTP 1.1, 1.2, 1.5, 2.2, 2.5, 4.6, 4.7  NCATE 1c, 4a  CAEP/ InTASC 2a, 2f, 2c, 4g, 7b, 7c, 8a | **Methods, materials and resources** align with all objectives/targets  AND  Differentiates instruction to support learner development  AND  Organizes instruction to ensure content is comprehensible, *relevant*, accessible, and *challenging* for all learners  AND  *Plans to engage learners in ownership of their learning* | **Methods, materials and resources** align with *all* objectives/targets  AND  Differentiates instruction to support learner development  AND  Organizes instruction to ensure content is comprehensible and *accessible* for most learners | **Methods, materials, and resources** align with *some* of the objectives/targets  AND  Differentiation of instruction is *minimal*  AND  Organizes instruction to ensure content is *comprehensible* for some learners | **Methods, materials, and resources** *do not align* with objectives/targets  OR  Differentiation of instruction is *absent* |
| **D. Assessment of student learning**  OSTP 2.3  NCATE 1d  CAEP/InTASC 6b, 6e | Planned **assessments** are varied and *consistently* provide opportunities for learners of varying abilities to illustrate competence  AND  Align with the Ohio Learning Standards  AND  Represents knowledge & skill articulated through objectives/targets  AND  *Support student evaluation of their learning* | Planned **assessments** are varied and *generally* provide opportunities for learners of *varying abilities* to illustrate competence  AND  *Align* with the Ohio Learning Standards  AND  *Represents* knowledge & skill articulated through objectives/targets | Planned **assessments** provide opportunities for *some* learners to illustrate competence  AND  *Generally* align with the Ohio Learning Standards  AND  Represents *most* of the content articulated through objectives/targets | Planned **assessments** *are not included*  OR  *Do not* align with the Ohio Learning Standards |
| **E. Individually Responsive**  **Teaching**  OSTP 1.1, 1.2, 1.5, 2.4, 2.5, 4.2, 4.4, 4.6  NCATE 1c, 4a  CAEP/ InTASC 2a, 2b, 5b, 7d | Lessons make meaningful and *relevant* connections between *lesson content*, prior learning and future learning, *other disciplines and real-world experiences* | Lessons make clear and *coherent* connections with students’ prior knowledge and future learning—both *explicitly* to students and *within* the lesson | Lessons make an *attempt* to connect the lesson to students’ prior knowledge, to previous lessons or future learning *but is not completely successful* | Lessons *do not* build on or connect to students’ prior knowledge, or the explanations given *are illogical or inaccurate* as to how the content connects to previous and future learning |
| **F. Research in Lesson Planning & Implementation**  OSTP 4.2, 4.4  NCATE 1c | Connects assessment practices and instructional strategies to **research and/or developmental theory**  AND  *Justifies the connection between theory and the selections of assessment and instruction* | Connects assessment practices and instructional strategies to **research and/or developmental theory** | Assessment practices and instructional strategies have *minimal* connections to **research or developmental theory** | Assessment practices and instructional strategies have *no connections* to **research or developmental theory** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instructional Delivery** | | | | |
| **G. Central Focus**  OSTP 4.3, 4.4 | *Consistently* identifies **central focus** for the students verbally *and* in writing | *Identifies* **central focus** for the students verbally *or* in writing | *Sometimes* identifies the **central focus** for the students *verbally or in writing* | *Does not* identify the **central focus** for the students |
| **H. Clarity**  OSTP 4  NCATE 1b | Provides clear, coherent, and accurate directions and explanations  AND  *Provides directions and explanations throughout the lesson, as needed* | Provides clear, *coherent*, and accurate directions and explanations | Provides *some* clear and accurate directions and explanations | *Does not* provide clear and accurate directions and explanations |
| **I. Higher-Level Thought Process**  OSTP 1.2  NCATE 4a  CAEP/ InTASC 4c, 5c, 5d, 5f, 8a, 8e, 8f | *Consistently* implements activities and methods including discovery that meets the individual needs of the students and encourages *creative, critical, and independent thought* | *Uses* various activities and methods, including questions and student discovery to encourage students to move beyond the facts | *Infrequently* uses various activities and methods, including questions and student discovery to encourage students to move beyond the facts | *Discourages* student discovery AND/OR students to move beyond the facts |
| **J. Checking for Understanding and Adjusting Instruction**  OSTP 3.1, 3.2, 3.3  NCATE 1d  CAEP/ InTASC 4e, 8b, 8i | *Continually* **checks for understanding**  AND  Makes *logical and appropriate* **adjustments** accordingly (Whole class/ group and *individual students*) | **Checks for understanding** at *key moments*  AND  *Makes* **adjustments** to instruction accordingly (Whole class/ group) | *Inconsistently* **checks for understanding**  OR  Makes *attempts* to adjust instruction accordingly, but **adjustments** *may cause additional confusion* | *Does not* **check for understanding** during lesson  AND/ OR  *Does not make any* **adjustments** based on learners’ responses |
| **K. Interactive Technology**  OSTP 4.7  NCATE 1b  CAEP/ InTASC 2f, 4g, 6i, 8g, 5c | *Integrates, selects, adapts*, and uses a variety of **technologies** in developmentally appropriate avenues relevant to the learning objectives/ targets of the lesson  AND  Demonstrates examples of **technologies** that:  1) convey key concepts AND  2) enable learners to demonstrate knowledge or skills AND/OR  *3) extend all learners’ understanding of concepts* | Uses **technologies** in *developmentally appropriate* avenues relevant to learning objectives/ targets of the lesson  AND  Includes at least one example of **technology** that  1) convey key concepts  AND/OR  2) enable learners to demonstrate knowledge or skills | Uses **technologies** *relevant* to the learning objectives/ targets of the lesson  AND/OR  Uses **technologies** to:  1) convey key concepts  OR  2) enable learners to demonstrate knowledge or skills | Does not use **technologies**  OR  Use of **technologies** is *not* relevant to the learning objectives/ targets of the lesson |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **L. Safe and Respectful Learning Environment**  OSTP 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5  NCATE 4a  CAEP/ InTASC 3b, 3d, 3f, 3c | Provides an *accepting*, **safe and respectful learning environment** with *well-established and seamless* routines and transitions  AND  *Establishes and promotes* constructive working relationshipswith learners that encourage engagement, ownership and reflection on all aspects of the classrooms  AND  Uses researched-based strategies to lessen disruptive behaviors and reinforce positive behavior  AND  *Students are actively encouraged to take responsibility for their behavior* | Provides a **safe and respectful learning environment** with routines and transitions  AND  *Establishes and promotes* constructive working relationshipswith learners  AND  *Uses* researched-based strategies to lessen disruptive behaviors and reinforce positive behavior | Provides a **safe learning environment** with *minimal* routines and transitions  AND/OR  *Attempts* to establish working relationshipswith learners  AND/OR  *Attempts* to use constructive strategies to lessen disruptive behaviors and reinforce positive behavior | *Does not* provide a **safe learning environment**  OR  *Does not* establish working relationshipswith learners  OR  *Does not* use constructive strategies to lessen disruptive behavior or reinforce positive behavior |
| **Assessment** | | | | |
| **M. Assessment: Guide Instruction**  OSTP 3.1, 3.2, 3.3  NCATE 1c, 1d  CAEP/ InTASC 1a, 6a, 6c, 6g, 7d | Uses appropriate formative and summative methods to assess and adjust for individuals and groups  AND  Uses data to design and *differentiate* *research-based* instruction and **assessment**  AND  *Uses data-informed decisions (trends and patterns) to set short and long term goals and for future instruction &* ***assessment*** | *Uses* appropriate formative and summative methods to assess and adjust for individuals or groups  AND  Uses data to design instruction and **assessment** | Uses *minimal* methods to assess various individuals or groups  AND/OR  Uses *minimal* data to design instruction and **assessment** | *Does not use* various methods to assess various individuals or groups  AND/OR  *Does not* use data to design instruction and **assessment** |
| **N. Assessment: Feedback to Learners**  OSTP 3.4, 3.5  NCATE 1d  CAEP/ InTASC 6d, 8b | Communicates *explicit, individualized*, and descriptive **feedback** that addresses *both strengths* and needs of the learners in relation to specific learning objectives/targets  AND  Provides timely **feedback**, guiding learners on *how to use feedback to monitor their own progress*  AND  Uses a *variety of methods* to provide **feedback** (e.g., written and oral) | Communicates *comprehensible* and descriptive **feedback** that addresses *some* of the needs of the learners in relation to specific learning objectives/targets  AND  Provides timely **feedback**, guiding learners with *support* to improve | *Attempts to* communicate *comprehensible* and descriptive **feedback** that addresses *some* of the needs of the learners in relation to specific learning objectives/targets  AND/OR  Attempts to provide **feedback**, guiding learners with *minimal* support to improve  OR  Feedback is provided in a somewhat timely fashion | Does not provide **feedback**, *nor* guides learners with support to improve  OR  **Feedback** is *not* timely |

***Professional Dispositions Evaluation***

Candidatei: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ ­

Cooperating Teacher/sii: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Semester: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

***What are dispositions****?* Dispositions are the values, commitments, and professional ethics that influence behaviors towards students, families, colleagues, and communities that affect student learning, motivation and development as well as the educator’s own professional growth (National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education).

***Directions –*** The form will be provided by the Program Coordinator to the University Supervisor, Cooperating Teacher[[2]](#endnote-2), and Student Teacheri

Each member of the team (Cooperating Teacherii, University Supervisor, and Student Teacheri)

1. Completes the evaluation in week 5 or 6 (Mid-term) of the student teaching experience AND in week 13 or 14 (Final)
2. Brings the completed survey to the mid-term 3-way conference

At the Mid-term 3-way conference

1. Goals are set for the remainder of the student teaching experience
2. The University Supervisor records the consensus ratings and enters into TK20 by the end of week 7

At the Final 3-way conference

1. Suggestions and comments are made to assist in the transition to teaching role
2. The University Supervisor records the consensus ratings and enters into TK20 by the end of week 14

***What else should a teacher candidate know?***  It is the student teacher’s responsibility to ask clarifying questions as well as demonstrate the expected dispositional behaviors. REMEMBER: Only those dispositions observed in student teaching can be measured, therefore it is up to the student teacher to demonstrate the dispositions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Professional Commitment & Behaviors** | | | |
| **Standards** | **Competency** | **MEETS (2)** | **DOES NOT MEET (0)** |
| OSTP 7.1, 2.3  NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 9(o) | A. Demonstrates **compliance with laws, regulations, and policies** (university, school and district) |  |  |
| OSTP 7.1 NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 9(o) | B. Academic integrity is evident and consistent |  |  |
| OSTP 7.1  NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 9(o) | C. Maintains appropriate confidentiality with all students, parents/caregivers, and colleagues *at all* times |  |  |
| OSTP 7.1  NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 9(o) | D. Is honest and respectful in dealing with others |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| OSTP 7.1 NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 9(o) | | E. Demonstrates care and concern about truth and ethics | | |  | |  |
| OSTP 7.1 NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 9(o) | | F. Personal integrity is consistent with expectations of the profession | | |  | |  |
| OSTP 7.1 NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 9(o) | | G. Dresses professionally and appropriately for the context of the practicum setting as defined by the district and university policies | | |  | |  |
| **Item/ Standards** | | **Exceeds Expectations**  **(3 points)** | **Meets Expectations**  **(2 points)** | **Emerging**  **(1 point)** | | **Does Not Meet Expectations**  **(0 points)** | |
| **H. Preparation**  OSTP Standard 1, 2  NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 7(n), 7(p); 7(q), 8(r) | | *Fully* **prepared** to teach on a daily basis with all materials (manipulatives, handouts, resources, etc.)  AND  Materials are organized and easily accessible  AND  Prepared for the unexpected and flexible | *Fully* **prepared** to teach on a daily basis with all materials (manipulatives, handouts, resources, etc.)  AND  Materials are organized and easily accessible | *Is not consistently p***repared** to teach on a daily basis with all materials (manipulatives, handouts, resources, etc.)  OR  Materials are not organized or easily accessible | | *Not* **prepared** to teach on a daily basis with all materials (manipulatives, handouts, resources, etc.)  AND  Materials are unorganized and not easily accessible | |
| **I. Punctuality**  OSTP 7.1 NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 9(o) | Is punctual for student teaching (beginning and ending of teacher work day, professional teaching duties, and classroom teaching)  AND  Extends time in the building before or after the teacher workday to support academic preparation | | Is punctual for student teaching (beginning and ending of teacher work day, professional teaching duties, and classroom teaching) | Most of the time is punctual for student teaching (beginning and ending of teacher work day, professional teaching duties, and classroom teaching) | | Is not punctual for student teaching (beginning and ending of teacher work day, professional teaching duties, and classroom teaching) | |

| ***J. Equity and Dignity of Learners***  OSTP 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 5.2, 5.5, 4.4, 4.5, 2.5  NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 2, 3(q), 4(q), 9(m) | Through actions and dialogue, conveys the belief that all students can learn regardless of the challenges that may be faced by providing an equitable learning environment  AND  Attempts to meet the educational needs of all students in a caring, nondiscriminatory and equitable manner based on the belief that all students will achieve to their full potential  AND  *Identifies and articulates personal biases* in order to minimize any negative impact on relationships | Through actions and dialogue, conveys the belief that all students can learn regardless of the challenges that may be faced by providing an equitable learning environment  AND  Attempts to meet the educational needs of all students in a caring, nondiscriminatory and equitable manner based on the belief that all students will achieve to their full potential | Through actions and dialogue, conveys the belief that all students can learn regardless of the challenges that may be faced by providing an equitable learning environment  OR  Attempts to meet the educational needs of all students in a caring, nondiscriminatory and equitable manner based on the belief that all students will achieve to their full potential | Does not convey the belief that all students can learn  OR  Demonstrates bias against a student or particular group |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Professional Relationships** | | | | |
| **K. Collaboration**  OSTP Standard 6  NCATE  CAEP /  InTASC 3(r), 3(q), 7(o), 10(r), 10(q) | *Establishes* productive relationships with cooperating teacher, members of the school community (other teachers, school personnel, administrators, etc.) through dialogue, collegial conflict, and face to face and virtual contexts  AND  *Eager* to consult with and learn from colleagues in planning and implementing instruction[[3]](#footnote-1)  AND  *Initiates* these interactions | *Establishes* productive relationships with cooperating teacher and members of the school community (other teachers, school personnel, administrators, etc.) through active listening and dialogue  AND  *Attempts* to consult with and learn from colleagues in planning and implementing instruction[[4]](#footnote-2) | *Attempts* to develop productive relationships with cooperating teacher and members of the school community | *Does not* establish productive relationships with cooperating teacher and members of the school community  OR  *Does not* engage in consultation with colleagues |
| **L. Communication with parents and students[[5]](#footnote-3)**  OSTP  NCATE 3b  CAEP  InTASC | Oral and written language is respectful, articulate, clear and appropriate to the situation and audience  AND  *Actively* initiates effective communication in order *to share ideas*  AND  Exchanges information about student, and their learning with families, support personnel, and students  AND  Listens attentively and responsively  AND  Uses grammatically correct speech and writing | Oral and written language is respectful, articulate, clear and appropriate to the situation and audience  AND  Initiates effective communication in order exchanges information about students, and their learning with families, support personnel, and students  AND  Listens attentively and responsively.  AND  Uses grammatically correct speech and writing | Oral and written language is respectful and appropriate to the situation and audience  AND  *Participate*s in communication about students, and their learning with cooperating teacher and/or support personnel  AND  Listens attentively  AND  Uses grammatically correct speech and writing most of the time | Uses inappropriate oral and/or written language  OR  Has limited communication with cooperating teacher and/or support personnel about students, and their  OR  Does not listen |
| **M. Advocacy**  OSTP 4.2, 6.4, 7.3  NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 2(m), 5(q), 10(s) | *Identifies and articulates* specific areas in need of **advocacy** (e.g., technology integration, contemporary best practices, students, cultural awareness, academic literacies, professionalism)  AND  Engages in actions based upon identified needs | *Identifies and articulates* areas in need of **advocacy** (e.g., technology integration, contemporary best practices, students, cultural awareness, academic literacies, professionalism) | Recognizes areas in need of **advocacy** (e.g., technology integration, contemporary best practices, students, cultural awareness, academic literacies, professionalism) | *Does not* recognize areas in need of **advocacy** (e.g., technology integration, contemporary best practices, students, cultural awareness, academic literacies, professionalism) |

| **Critical Thinking and Reflective Practice** | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **N. Critical thinking and problem- solving skills[[6]](#footnote-4)**  OSTP 1.3, 1.2, 2.4, 2.5, 3.3, 4.6, 5.3, 7.3  NCATE  CAEP /  InTASC 4(p), 5(q), 9(l) | Consistently demonstrates strong reflection with connections across students/materials  AND  Active problem solving, proposes one or more solutions that indicate comprehension of the problem and is sensitive to contextual factors  AND  Proposes one or more solutions that indicate comprehension of a problem and is sensitive to contextual factors | Consistently demonstrates reflection with connections across students/materials  AND  Active problem solving, proposes a solution that indicates comprehension of the problem and considers contextual factors | Limited ability to reflect    AND  Attempts problem solving and proposes a solution | Summarizes and reports instead of reflects  OR  *Does not attempt to reflect.*  *AND*  *Does not perceive there are problems* |
| **O. Responds positively to constructive criticism**  OSTP 6.2, 6.3, 7.2  NCATE 4D  CAEP / InTASC 9(n), 8(r) | Is receptive to **feedback** and supervision  AND  Incorporates feedback from other professionals (e.g., cooperating teacher, university supervisor) to make changes in teaching  AND  P*roactively seeks feedback from other professionals in advance of and following implementation*  *AND*  Responsible for own emotions and behaviors; appropriately expresses feelings; and does not blame others | Is receptive to **feedback** and supervision  AND  Incorporates feedback from other professionals (e.g., cooperating teacher, university supervisor) to *make changes in* teaching  AND  Responsible for own emotions and behaviors; appropriately expresses feelings; and does not blame others | Is receptive to **feedback** and supervision  OR  Incorporates feedback from other professionals (e.g., cooperating teacher, university supervisor) to *make changes in* teaching  OR  Not responsible for own emotions and behaviors; blames others | Is not receptive to **feedback** and supervision  OR  Does not consistently incorporate feedback from other professionals (e.g., cooperating teacher, university supervisor) to make changes in teaching  OR  Expresses feelings inappropriately |
| **P. Engages in Professional Development**  OSTP 7.2  NCATE  CAEP / InTASC 9(m), 9(n), 10(r), 10(s), 10(t) | Participates in *multiple (2+)* professional development opportunities (e.g. workshops, seminars, attending a professional conference, joining a professional organization)    AND  Provides evidence of application of the knowledge acquired from PD in practice | Participates in *at least one* professional development opportunity (e.g. workshop, seminar, attending a professional conference)  AND  Provides evidence of application of the knowledge acquired from PD in practice or in professional conversations | Participates in *at least one* professional development opportunity (e.g. workshop, seminar, attending a professional conference) | Does not participate in any professional development opportunity (e.g. workshop, seminar, attending a professional conference) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **What went well? Areas of strength?** |  |
| **Possible opportunities for growth** |  |

**Goals for Improvement: Pedagogy and Dispositions**

Following the Three-way Midterm Evaluation between the Student Teacheri, the university supervisor, and the Cooperating Teacherii, the Student Teacheri will identify **three** specific and measurable goals for improvement for the duration of the student teaching experience. The University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher will then affirm and/or suggest goals for the Student Teacheri.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal** (must have a minimum of one goal) | **Connection to 3-way form** | **Details and Explanation** |
| *Example:*  *1. Incorporate more interactive technologies into instruction* | **N. Assessment: Feedback to Learners** | *I will focus on providing specific (not general) feedback to individuals and to groups – with a focus on task and process.*  *I will focus on “quick and quiet” feedback.*  *I will prepare feedback ahead of time using data* |
| 1. |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |

**Comments**

**Glossary of Terms**

**Academic Integrity:** Candidates maintain academic integrity by not committing academic misconduct. Academic misconduct includes (but is not limited to) plagiarism, collusion (unauthorized collaboration), copying the work of another student, and possession of unauthorized materials.[[7]](#footnote-5)

**Advocacy:** Any action within professional boundaries that speaks in favor of, recommends, argues for a cause, supports or defends, or pleads on behalf of others. This may be to advocate for the profession, an individual student, or other ideas.

**Analyze:** To examine data carefully and critically in order to identify key components and potential outcomes.

**Assessment: “**Process of monitoring, measuring, evaluating, documenting, reflecting on, and adjusting teaching and relearning to ensure that learners reach high levels of Achievement.”[[8]](#footnote-6)

**Central Focus:** A description of the important understandings and core concepts that you want students to develop within the learning segment. The central focus should go beyond a list of facts and skills, align with content standards and learning objectives, and address the subject-specific components in the learning segment. [[9]](#footnote-7)

**Common Core State Standards**: A set of educational standards benchmarked to international standards for English language arts and mathematics, voluntarily adopted by states (including Ohio). “These standards are designed to ensure that learners graduating from high school are prepared to go to college or enter the workforce and that parents, teachers, and learners have a clear understanding of what is expected of them.”[[10]](#footnote-8)

**Cooperating Teachers**ii**:** Teachers in schools who mentor and supervise student teachers in their classrooms for the duration of a student teaching and/or field experience.

**Developmental Theory (General):** Theories that describe the stages of development of children/adolescents (e.g., Erikson’s Theory of Psychosocial Development, Kohlberg’s Theory of Moral Development, Piaget’s Cognitive Development Theory, Behavioral Theories, and Sociocultural Theories)

**Developmental Theory (Content-Specific):**  Content-specific teaching that organizes activities and learning tasks to help learners move from one level to the next. (Stevens, Shin & Krajcik, 2009)[[11]](#footnote-9)

**Differentiate: “**To respond to variance among learners” by modifying “content, and/or process, and/or products, and/or the learning environment” according to learners’ “readiness, interest, or learning profile.”[[12]](#footnote-10)

**Engagement:** The degree of attention, curiosity, interest, optimism, and passion that the student teacher shows when they are preparing lessons, during the teaching of a lesson, and when reflecting on lessons. Attention refers to fully present in the moment and without distractions (e.g., texting, email)

**Fair:** When a teacher provides all learners with what they individually need to be successful learners.

**Feedback: “**Information communicated to the learner that is intended to modify the learner’s thinking or behavior for the purpose of improving learning.”[[13]](#footnote-11)

**Formative Assessment:** “Assessment used continuously throughout learning and teaching, allowing teachers to adjust instruction to improve learner achievement.”1

**Goal Setting:** When teachers identify appropriate measures of learner performance (including, but not limited to, standardized tests), in order to provide information on the learning gains of learners, and set quantifiable goals related to learner progress. [[14]](#footnote-12)

**Individually Responsive Teaching (IRT)**: Pedagogy that responds to the needs of individual learners. Characteristics may include understanding individual learning and thinking styles, positive perspectives on parents and families; communication of high expectations; learning within the context of culture; learner-centered instruction; reshaping the curriculum; and teacher as facilitator.

**Interactive Technology:** Technologies that enable learners to engage with the teacher and/or content on an individual level. Examples include: SMART Boards, learner response systems (i.e., clickers), and computers, tablets, etc.

**Key Concepts:** The essential ideas of the content area/discipline.

**Learning Environment**: Any setting where learning occurs. The term may refer to the physical environment (e.g., the classroom), as well as the classroom management procedures and activities that enable teaching and learning to take place.

**Misconceptions: “**ideas that provide an incorrect understanding of such ideas, objects or events that are constructed based on a person’s experience” (Martin *et al*., 2002).[[15]](#footnote-13)

**Objectives/Targets:** Learner learning outcomes to be achieved by the end of the lesson or learning segment.[[16]](#footnote-14)

**Ohio Academic Content Standards**: “Clearly defined statements and/or illustrations of what all learners, teachers, schools and districts are expected to know and be able to do,” as determined by the [Ohio Department of Education](http://www.ode.state.oh.us/GD/Templates/Pages/ODE/ODEPrimary.aspx?page=2&TopicRelationID=1696). [[17]](#footnote-15)

**Ohio Learning Standards:** Standards can include content standards, performance standards, and operating standards. **Content Standards**describe the knowledge and skills that students should attain, often called the "what" of "what students should know and be able to do." **Performance Standards** are concrete statements of how well students must learn what is set out in the content standards, often called the "be able to do". Finally, **operating Standards** describe the conditions for learning.[[18]](#footnote-16)

**Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession:** The Educator Standards Board (ESB) was established by the Ohio General Assembly to bring standards-based reform to the educator level by defining standards for teachers and principals at all stages of their careers.[[19]](#footnote-17)

**Ohio Teacher Evaluation System:** Ohio teacher evaluation system including measures of teacher performance on standards as well as student growth measures[[20]](#footnote-18)

**Problem-solving:** A mental process that involves discovering, analyzing and solving problems. The ultimate goal of problem-solving is to overcome obstacles and find a solution that best resolves the issue.

**Research: “**The use of rigorous, systematic, and objective methodologies to obtain reliable and valid knowledge.”[[21]](#footnote-19)

**Self-Assessment**: Process in which learners observe, monitor, and judge their knowledge and/or behaviors, using criteria (frequently supplied by the teacher) to determine how their knowledge and/or behaviors can be improved.

**Student Learning Objectives:** A measurable, long-term academic growth target that a teacher sets at the beginning of the year for all students or subgroups of students. SLOs demonstrate teacher’s impact on student learning within a given interval of instruction based upon baseline data gathered at the beginning of the course.

**Student Growth Measures:** These measures can include value-added data when available, approved vendor assessments, or locally determined measures.[[22]](#footnote-20)

**Summative Assessment: “**Assessment activities used at the culmination of a given period of time to evaluate the extent to which instructional objectives have been met.”1

**Targets:** See definition for **objectives**.

**Value-Added:** Value-added analysis is a statistical method that helps educators measure the impact of schools and teachers have on students’ academic progress rates from year to year. All value-added measures are not the same. These are measures that provide educators with information on how they can use data to focus instruction.
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