

Kent State University



About This Report

About Your High-Impact Practices Report

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." High-Impact Practices (HIPs) share several traits: They demand considerable time and effort, facilitate learning outside of the classroom, require meaningful interactions with faculty and students, encourage collaboration with diverse others, and provide frequent and substantive feedback. As a result, participation in these practices can be life-changing (Kuh, 2008). NSSE founding director George Kuh recommends that institutions should aspire for all students to participate in at least two HIPs over the course of their undergraduate experience—one during the first year and one in the context of their major (NSSE, 2007).

NSSE asks students about their participation in the six HIPs shown in the box at right. Unlike most questions on the NSSE survey, the HIP questions are not limited to the current school year. Thus, senior students' responses include participation from prior years.

High-Impact Practices in NSSE

Service-Learning Courses that included a community-based project

Learning Community

Formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together

Research with Faculty Work with a faculty member on a research project

Internship or Field Experience Internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement

Study Abroad

Culminating Senior Experience Capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.

Report Sections

•					
Participation Comparisons (p. 3)	Displays HIP participation for your students compared with that of students at your comparison group institutions. Two views present insights into your students' HIP participation:				
	Overall HIP Participation				
	Displays the percentage of students who participated in one HIP and in two or more HIPs, relative to those at your comparison group institutions.				
	Statistical Comparisons				
	Comparisons of participation in each HIP and overall for your students relative to those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes.				
Response Detail (pp. 4-5)	Provides complete response frequencies for the relevant HIP questions for your students and those at your comparison group institutions. First-year results include a summary of their expectations for future HIP participation.				
Participation by Student Characteristics (p. 6)	Displays your students' participation in each HIP by selected student characteristics.				

Interpreting Comparisons

HIP participation varies more among students within an institution than it does between institutions, like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on overall participation rates amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It's equally important to understand how student engagement (including HIP participation) varies *within* your institution. The table on page 6 provides an initial look at how HIP participation varies by selected student characteristics. The Report Builder—Institution Version and your *Major Field Report* (both to be released in the fall) offer further perspectives on internal variation and can help you investigate your students' HIP participation in depth.

Kuh, G. D. (2008). High-impact educational practices: What they are, who has access to them, and why they matter. Washington, DC: Association of American Colleges and Universities. National Survey of Student Engagement (2007). Experiences that matter: Enhancing student learning and success—Annual Report 2007. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research.


Rocconi, L., & Gonyea, R. M. (2015, May). Contextualizing student engagement effect sizes: An empirical analysis. Paper presented at the Association for Institutional Research Annual Forum, Denver, CO.



Participation Comparisons Kent State University

Overall HIP Participation

The figures below display the percentage of students who participated in High-Impact Practices. Both figures include participation in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty. The senior figure also includes participation in an internship or field experience, study abroad, and culminating senior experience. The first segment in each bar shows the percentage who participated in at least two HIPs, and the full bar (both colors) represents the percentage who participated in at least one.



Statistical Comparisons

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in a given High-Impact Practice, including the percentage who participated overall (at least one, two or more). It also graphs the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison groups. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group percentages appear on the following pages.)

		Tour students participation compared with.						
	Kent State	Great Lakes Public		Carnegie Clas	ss	NSSE 2016 & 2017		
First-year	%	Difference ^a	ES ^b	Difference ^a	ES ^b	Difference ^a	ES ^b	
12. Service-Learning	47	-2	04	-4	07	-5	*10	
11c. Learning Community	20	+3	.06	+3	.08	+6	*** .17	
11e. Research with Faculty	3	-3	**14	-2	*11	-2	*11	
Participated in at least one	56	-2	03	-2	04	-2	04	
Participated in two or more	12	-0	.00	+0	.00	+1	.05	
Senior								
12. Service-Learning	50	-6	**13	-9	***18	-10	***20	
11c. Learning Community	21	-4	*10	-4	**11	-2	05	
11e. Research with Faculty	21	-4	*09	-4	**10	-2	06	
11a. Internship or Field Exp.	48	-7	***15	-3	06	-1	01	
11d. Study Abroad	19	+3	.07	+4	** .12	+5	*** .14	
11f. Culminating Senior Exp.	41	-5	*10	-4	07	-4	*09	
Participated in at least one	84	-4	**11	-3	*08	-2	05	
Participated in two or more	57	-8	***16	-6	**12	-3	07	

Your students' participation compared with:

a. Percentage point differences (institution – comp. group) rounded to whole numbers. Values less than one may not display a bar and may be shown as +0 or -0. b. Cohen's *h* (standardized difference between two proportions). Effect sizes indicate the practical importance of observed differences. For service-learning,

internships, study abroad, and culminating senior experiences, an ES of about .2 may be considered small, .5 medium, and .8 large. For learning community and research with faculty, an ES of about .1 may be considered small, .3 medium, and .5 large (Rocconi & Gonyea, 2015).

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (*z*- test comparing participation rates).

Note: Participation includes the percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a community-based project. All results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).




Response Detail

Kent State University

Percentage responding "Plan to do"


Study Abroad





Plans to Participate^a

Knowing whether first-year students *plan* to participate in upper-division HIPs can reveal insights about HIP demand, awareness of opportunities, and the clarity of institutional information. These results might also point to topics for additional exploration, such as what contributes to students' expectations, their assumptions about who can participate, or why other students are undecided or have no plans to participate in the activity.



Internship or Field


Participate in an internship,

co-op, field experience,

Experience

Culminating Senior Experience

Complete a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.).



a. Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* for details on the other response options.

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).



Response Detail Kent State University

Seniors

Service-Learning		% Most or all	% Some	% None	
About how many of your courses at this	Kent State	10	41	50	
institution have	Great Lakes Public	10	47	43	
included a community- based project (service-	Carnegie Class	11	48	41	
learning)?	NSSE 2016 & 2017	12	49	40	
Learning Communit	y	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in a learning community or some	Kent State	21	7	12	59
other formal program where groups of	Great Lakes Public	26	8	10	56
students take two or	Carnegie Class	26	9	12	53
more classes together.	NSSE 2016 & 2017	23	10	14	53
Research with a Fac	ulty Member	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Work with a faculty member on a research	Kent State	21	13	13	53
project.	Great Lakes Public	25	11	13	51
	Carnegie Class	25	14	15	46
	NSSE 2016 & 2017	23	12	15	49
Internship or Field E	vnerience				% De wet alem to de
internship of Field E	xperience	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in an	Kent State	% Done or in progress 48	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	19
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student					
Participate in an internship, co-op, field	Kent State	48	25	8	19
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical	Kent State Great Lakes Public	48	25	8	19
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical	Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class	48 48 56 51	25 23 25 25	8 7 8	19 1 5 1 5 1 5
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study	Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class	48 56 51 49	25 23 25 24	8 7 8 10	19 15 15 17
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad	Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017	48 56 51 49 % Done or in progress	25 23 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	8 7 8 10 % Have not decided	19 15 15 15 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study	Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 Kent State	48 56 51 49 67 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	25 23 25 24 25 24 25 24 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	8 7 8 10 % Have not decided 9	19 15 15 17 % Do not plan to do 66
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study	Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 Kent State Great Lakes Public	48 56 51 49 % Done or in progress 19 16	25 23 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	8 7 8 10 % Have not decided 9 10	 19 15 15 17 % Do not plan to do 66 67
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study	Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017	48 56 51 49 % Done or in progress 19 16 15	25 23 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	8 7 8 10 % Have not decided 9 10 11	 19 15 15 17 7 8 7 66 67 67 67 67
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study abroad program. Culminating Senior Complete a culminating	Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017	48 56 51 49 % Done or in progress 19 16 15 14	25 23 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	8 7 8 10 % Have not decided 9 10 11 12	 19 15 15 17 7 8 7 67 67 67 67
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study abroad program. Culminating Senior Complete a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior	Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 Experience	48 56 51 49 % Done or in progress 19 16 15 14 % Done or in progress	25 23 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	8 7 8 10 % Have not decided 9 10 11 12 % Have not decided	 19 15 15 17 % Do not plan to do 66 67 67 67 67 67 67 68 69 69 69 60 60 61 62 63 64 65 65 66 67 67<!--</td-->
Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement. Study Abroad Participate in a study abroad program. Culminating Senior Complete a culminating senior experience	Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 Kent State Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class NSSE 2016 & 2017 Experience Kent State	48 56 51 49 % Done or in progress 19 16 15 14 % Done or in progress 41	25 23 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	8 7 8 10 % Have not decided 9 10 11 12 % Have not decided 8	19 19 15 15 15 17 17 17 % Do not plan to do 66 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 67 17 7 17 7 17 7 17 7 17 7 17 7 17 7 17 7 17 7 17 7 17

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).



Participation by Student Characteristics

Kent State University

Participation in High-Impact Practices by Student Characteristics

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in each HIP by selected student characteristics. Examining participation rates for different groups offers insight into how engagement varies within your student population.

	First-year				Senior					
	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Internship or Field Experience	Study Abroad	Culminating Senior Experience	
Sex ^a	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Female	49	19	2	49	22	23	56	25	42	
Male	43	22	3	53	20	18	38	11	39	
Race/ethnicity or international ^a										
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Asian	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Black or African American	43	22	2	58	20	18	43	5	30	
Hispanic or Latino	33	10	3	56	36	32	46	28	48	
Native Hawaiian/Other Pac. Islander	-	-	-	_	-	—	—	—	_	
White	48	20	2	47	20	20	54	22	42	
Other	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		
Foreign or nonresident alien	69	6	12	81	26	16	13	16	26	
Two or more races/ethnicities	57	33	0	48	29	38	52	33	43	
Age										
Traditional (FY < 21, Seniors < 25)	48	20	2	50	24	24	58	24	46	
Nontraditional (FY 21+, Seniors 25+)	38	0	5	46	11	13	24	10	28	
First-generation ^b										
Not first-generation	48	22	2	49	24	23	59	25	45	
First-generation	48	16	2	50	19	20	43	16	39	
Enrollment status ^a										
Not full-time		-	-	45	10	14	23	8	37	
Full-time	48	20	2	51	23	22	55	22	42	
Residence										
Not on campus	57	5	4	49	20	20	51	21	43	
On campus	46	23	2	41	38	31	56	17	29	
Major category ^c										
Arts & humanities	45	21	4	55	21	21	58	34	61	
Biological sciences, agriculture, natural res.	38	11	4	22	27	45	47	16	16	
Physical sciences, math, computer science	60	20	7	21	31	31	28	10	31	
Social sciences	49	16	0	46	11	38	58	28	34	
Business	43	29	3	46	20	15	51	27	57	
Communications, media, public relations	43 56	26 14	6 0	54 74	9 40	4 11	67 74	22 17	38 40	
Education Engineering	45	36	0	54	31	23	15	8	40 69	
Health professions	43	12	1	57	23	18	43	9	37	
Social service professions	_	<u> </u>	_	42	11	16	47	26	11	
Undecided/undeclared	65	19	0	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Overall	47	20	3	50	21	21	48	19	41	
	4/	20	J	50	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	40	19	41	

Notes: Percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a communitybased project. Percentages are not reported (—) for row categories containing fewer than 10 students. Results are unweighted, except for overall percentages which are weighted by sex and enrollment status.

a. Institution-reported variable.

b. Neither parent holds a bachelor's degree.

c. These are NSSE's default related-major categories, based on first major if more than one was reported. Institution-customized major categories will be included on the Major Field Report, to be released in the fall. Excludes majors categorized as "all other."